$_{\odot JS~44~(Rev.~12/07)}$ 4:10-cv-03142-WKU-CRZ_LDoc #1 Filed: 07/21/10 Page 1 of 6 - Page ID # 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sneet. (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SHERMAN OSBORN		DEFENDANTS BNSF Railway Co	DEFENDANTS BNSF Railway Company		
•	of First Listed Plaintiff Box Butte County XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LANI	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
	e, Address, and Telephone Number) I, Berkheimer, Richardson & Endacott, LLF I, Lincoln, Nebraska, 68502, Phone: (402)	AHL, LLP, Wells Fa	nore-Mantzios, Wolfe, Sr argo Center, 1248 "O" S Phone: (402)474-1507	nowden, Hurd, Luers & treet, Suite 800, Lincoln,	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage	Y	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
▼1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened another (speci	4 /		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 42 U.S.C. 12117, 42 U.S.C. 2000e- Brief description of cause: Defendant perceives Plaintiff, a qua	5.		ntiff to work for Defendant	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD	-		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

SHERMAN OSBORN,)	Civil No.
Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
VS.)	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
)	
BNSF RAILROAD COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Sherman Osborne, by and through his attorneys, and for his cause of action against Defendant, BNSF Railroad Co., states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1367. This action arises under and jurisdiction lies pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12117, which incorporates by reference §706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5.
- 2. Plaintiff Sherman Osborne is a United States citizen and at all times relevant was a resident of Box Butte County, Nebraska.
- 3. The unlawful employment practices complained of herein were committed within the state of Nebraska.
- 4. Defendant BNSF Railroad Co. has a registered office in Box Butte County, Nebraska.

- 5. The Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of § 101 (7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111 (7) and § 701 of Title VII and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- 6. Defendant is engaged in an industry that affects commerce within the meaning of § 107 (7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111 (7), § 702 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.
- 7. Defendant employs 15 or more employees and is an "employer" within the meaning of § 101 (5) (A) of the ADA and 42 U.S.C. § 12111 (5) (A).

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

- 8. Plaintiff filed timely charges of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Nebraska Equal Opportunity Commission.
 - 9. The Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies available to him.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION-DISCRIMINATION

Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-9 above as if fully set forth herein.

- 10. On March 6, 2002, Plaintiff, Sherman Osborn, was injured in a motor vehicle accident. At the time he worked as an engineer for the Defendant.
- 11. As an engineer, the Plaintiff's duties included operating locomotives for the Defendant.
- 12. After the Plaintiff's motor vehicle accident, his condition and injuries improved to the point where, on April 6, 2007, he submitted a Medical Questionnaire to the Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's instructions. The Plaintiff properly followed the Defendant's return to work procedure.
- 13. The Plaintiff's treating physician released him to work as an engineer and/or conductor.

- 14. The Plaintiff took a functional capacity evaluation which stated that he is able to return to work as an engineer.
- 15. On April 20, 2007, the Defendant, through a letter signed by Dr. Sharon Clark adopted prior restrictions issued to the Plaintiff in 2004 and refused to allow him to return to work.
- 16. Since April, 2007, the Plaintiff has been, and continues to be, qualified for the position of engineer and/or conductor. At all relevant times hereto, the Plaintiff performed the duties of an engineer in a satisfactory manner and performed at or above the levels expected by Defendant.
- 17. At all relevant times, the Defendant has regarded the Plaintiff as an individual with a "disability" as that term is defined in § 3(2) of the ADA, 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(j)(3)(ii) & (1), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(2) and 12111(8).
- 18. The Defendant perceives the Plaintiff as having a physical impairment stemming from his 2002 motor vehicle accident that substantially limits one or more major life activities. This is established in part by the fact that the Defendant has prevented the Plaintiff from working a wide range of jobs, namely, as an engineer, switchman, or brakeman. Essentially, the Defendant has limited the Plaintiff to work in the light to light-medium range, a classification that eliminates a broad range of jobs despite medical evidence to the contrary.
- 19. The Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of § 101(8) of the ADA, 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(j)(3)(ii) & (l), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(2) and 12111(8), in that the Plaintiff is an individual with a disability who can perform the essential functions of an engineer and/or conductor, yet the Defendant refuses to employ him despite its ability to.

- 20. Despite the Plaintiff's offers, the Defendant has refused to even discuss options for reasonable accommodation of any perceived disability with the Plaintiff.
 - 21. The Defendant did not engage in the interactive process in good faith.
- 22. Defendant's actions as described above constitute discrimination against Plaintiff with respect to the terms, conditions and/or privileges of employment under § 102 of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12112, 29 C.F.R. § 1630.2(j)(3)(ii) & (l), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(2) and 12111(8).
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's aforementioned conduct, the Plaintiff has lost compensation and benefits of employment and such losses will continue in the future.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's aforementioned conduct, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other non-monetary losses.
- 25. The Defendant's conduct was undertaken with malice and reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in his favor on this cause of action against the Defendant for lost wages and other benefits of employment, for compensatory damages, punitive damages, appropriate injunctive relief, front pay, prejudgment interest, attorney's fees and costs, and for such additional relief as this Court deems appropriate.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY AT LINCOLN, NEBRASKA.

DATED this 21st day of June, 2010.

SHERMAN OSBORN, Plaintiff,

By: KNUDSEN, BERKHEIMER RICHARDSON & ENDACOTT, LLP 3800 VerMaas Place, Suite 200 Lincoln, Nebraska 68502 (402) 475-7011

By: /s/ Jeanelle R. Lust
Jeanelle R. Lust - #20556
jlust@knudsenlaw.com